

Endothelial cell seeding

D. A. Mosquera and
M. Goldman

Department of Surgery,
East Birmingham Hospital,
45 Bordesley Green East,
Birmingham B9 5ST, UK

Correspondence to:
Mr D. A. Mosquera

Endothelial cell seeding is a technique that has developed over the past 15 years in response to the need for a high performance synthetic vascular graft. This review details our present knowledge of seeding and examines the various problems that have hampered its introduction into clinical practice.

Small vessel occlusive arterial disease is bypassed most successfully by autogenous vein grafts^{1,2}. However, when vein is not available surgeons are obliged to implant synthetic grafts. Factors that are relatively unimportant in large vessel replacement, where synthetic grafts are satisfactory, are highly significant in small vessel bypass. In the latter blood flow is reduced and there is a rapid adsorption and accumulation of blood proteins on to the graft surface³, with the formation of a thrombogenic pseudointima of compacted fibrin⁴. Because an endothelial cell lining never develops on artificial surfaces in humans^{4,5}, the pseudointima is retained throughout the life of the prosthesis and may be important in subsequent graft occlusion.

Endothelial cells are actively antithrombotic⁶ and provide the best possible local environment for preventing thrombosis. The endothelial lining occupies a pivotal position in the homeostasis of the vascular tree⁷ synthesizing prostacyclin, thromboxane A₂, endothelin and other chemicals that maintain a delicate balance between factors promoting and opposing thrombosis. In addition to haemostasis, endothelial cell physiology contributes to early atherosclerotic change, haematogenous tumour metastasis, transplant rejection and white blood cell emigration to sites of inflammation. Further elucidation of endothelial cell biology may eventually bring therapeutic benefits to patients with widely differing diseases.

The development of an artificial graft lined by active, vascular endothelium (the living prosthesis) would combine the virtues of endothelium with the availability of synthetic materials and could result in a conduit with a performance much nearer to that of autogenous vein. However, seeded artificial grafts may never be as good as autogenous vein, because other important factors such as the chemical nature of prostheses and compliance influence their fate. Despite these limitations, coronary artery bypass grafting, synthetic heart valves, artificial organs and peripheral vascular surgery are all areas that could exploit improvements.

Endothelial cell seeding has developed in response to the need for an improved prosthesis and consists of various techniques to promote the growth of vascular endothelial cells on the luminal surface of artificial grafts. Over a period of 15 years extensive research has culminated in several clinical trials. In this review we discuss the development of endothelial seeding, the problems associated with its implementation, and its possible role in surgical practice.

Isolation and harvesting of endothelial cells

Although techniques to isolate endothelial cells have been available for some time⁸, the development of identification procedures and of long-term, *in vitro* culture were important advances⁹⁻¹¹. Subsequently, endothelial cells were isolated from many different sources¹² but umbilical cord was the first and, because of its availability and convenience, remains the most commonly used source for laboratory work.

There are two principal methods of harvesting large vessel endothelium^{12,13}, mechanical and enzymatic. Cells can be mechanically harvested by scraping them from the intima, but this may lead to cell damage and contamination with smooth muscle cells. Mechanical harvesting is probably more unreliable and certainly less popular than the enzymatic techniques that have superseded it. These latter techniques bathe the endothelium in collagenase, allowing separation of the cells from the extracellular matrix and basement membrane. In the eversion method a vessel is turned inside out and bathed in enzyme¹⁴, whereas in the infusion technique the enzyme is flushed into the vessel. Both are widely used.

Although endothelial cell harvesting is now reported routinely, the success and yield vary with the source. Gimbrone *et al.*¹⁵ found that at 12 h after seeding on to tissue culture plastic only 20-50 per cent of harvested cells had attached, and an adequate growth of cells may be possible in only 33-81 per cent of specimens¹⁶⁻¹⁸. Human long saphenous vein produces a lower yield of viable cells with a longer doubling time compared with cells from animal large vessel endothelium¹⁹. In addition, non-smokers provide significantly better cell harvests²⁰.

When large amounts of tissue are available, large numbers of cells can usually be obtained²¹. These cells will grow and migrate most efficiently on tissue culture plastic coated with fibronectin or gelatin²² and require a culture medium containing glutamine, serum, endothelial cell growth factor and heparin²³⁻²⁵. Using these supplements cells may be cultured for long periods.

There have been few attempts to standardize the harvesting of endothelial cells. In one study²⁶ the average harvesting efficiency was only 12-15 per cent, possibly because even fresh veins have an incomplete endothelial lining. Although cell isolation with the infusion technique may be more efficient than with the eversion method²⁷, in general present harvesting methods are inefficient and yield insufficient cells for confluent coverage of a graft surface²⁸⁻³⁰. Twenty-four hours after seeding a graft, as little as 0.27 per cent of the surface may be covered by cells³⁰. If this problem is to be overcome an abundant source of endothelial cells is required. Although the long saphenous vein is a good source, if sufficient cells are available to line a prosthesis the vein itself is likely to be adequate for arterial bypass. At present no sufficiently abundant source of endothelial cells exists in humans to provide reliable confluent coverage of a prosthetic graft. Current methods of harvesting are relatively inconsistent and empirical and so clinical studies have taken place against a background of poorly defined experimental techniques.

Microvascular endothelial cells and mesothelium

In an effort to overcome the problems associated with procuring large vessel endothelium, researchers have turned to microvascular endothelium, which can be isolated in large quantities

from omentum¹² and tolerates prolonged culture³¹. Isolation entails enzymatic digestion of the tissue, followed by either separation on a centrifugation gradient³² or graded meshes³³. Although the cell harvests seem to be lower after centrifugation, equivalent results with both methods are obtained after seeding grafts *in vivo*³⁴.

The only alternative cell source is the lining of the peritoneal cavity. Peritoneal mesothelial cells are actively antithrombogenic and produce prostacyclin³⁵. Preliminary work on their role as vascular graft linings has been undertaken.

A problem that must be faced in the isolation of microvascular endothelium from omentum is contamination with mesothelial cells. Most studies confirm the endothelial origin of their cells by staining for factor VIII-related antigen. However, some workers^{36,37} doubt the origin of omentally isolated cells and one study was virtually unable to show any difference between pulmonary microvascular endothelial cells and pericardial mesothelial cells³⁸. Recently, monoclonal antibodies against cytokeratins 8 and 18 have been recognized as markers for mesothelial cells. Studies using these markers have suggested that omentally derived cells are mesothelial, not endothelial³⁹.

Seeding studies

In vitro studies

Once the isolation and culturing of endothelial cells became routine, attempts to apply cells to vascular prostheses (seeding) rapidly followed⁴⁰. However, the methods used to isolate and culture cells vary and seeding densities in the laboratory are often at levels presently unachievable in humans. Cells are not always subjected to physiological conditions and the resulting published literature is somewhat confusing and contradictory.

Adhesion on to many artificial surfaces is biphasic⁴¹. Initial adherence is rapid and virtually complete by 15–30 min. There is then a second phase when adhesion still increases, but at a much slower rate. Although the raw surfaces of Dacron[®] (E.I. du Pont, Wilmington, Delaware, USA) or polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) do not encourage endothelial cells to adhere⁴², precoating with a substrate, such as fibronectin or gelatin, improves coverage^{43–45}. Platelet-rich plasma, amnion-coated Dacron, fibrin glue and combinations of collagens and fibronectin or laminin have also been found to be effective^{41,46,47}, but with short seeding times seeding efficiencies can be disappointingly low⁴⁷. However, once attached to the graft material, the cells will usually grow successfully⁴⁸, especially on PTFE⁴².

Once attached to the graft surface the endothelial cells must withstand the shear stresses of circulating blood. Cells are lost rapidly from a seeded graft within the first 30 min, and by 24 h only 4 per cent of the seeded cells may remain²⁸. Fibronectin can improve endothelial cell retention in conditions of shear stress^{49–51}, but this advantage may be offset by its tendency to cause increased platelet deposition on the graft surface⁵².

Leucocytes may be partly responsible for endothelial cell detachment. If tissue culture medium is used in an artificial circuit more cells remain attached than when blood is used⁴³, and endothelial cell retention can be vastly improved in seeded prostheses implanted into leucopenic dogs⁵³.

Laboratory studies on microvascular endothelial cells are more limited but have shown that initial cell adherence to grafts is rapid and that the cells withstand high shear stresses⁵⁴. Plating microvascular endothelial cells on to a pre-established clot at supraconfluent densities (sodding) has produced confluent graft coverage at 3 h⁵⁵.

In vivo studies

Animals. Seeding synthetic prostheses for *in vivo* use with endothelial cells was first attempted in 1975⁴⁰. Subsequently, seeded grafts have been evaluated using a number of functional assessments. Initially, platelet deposition appears to be greater on seeded grafts than on controls, but by 2 weeks after

implantation seeded grafts show less deposition, and platelet survival soon returns to normal, unlike on control grafts in which it remains shortened^{56,57}. The use of antiplatelet agents in the early postoperative period, combined with seeding, seems to be an especially effective combination^{56,58,59}.

The thrombus-free surface area is increased and the surface thrombogenicity is reduced in seeded Dacron grafts in dogs and the rapid development of an endothelial monolayer by 4 weeks is associated with thin inner capsules^{60–62}. Knitted Dacron is better than woven Dacron at supporting endothelial cell growth⁶³ and preclotted PTFE grafts also develop good endothelial coverage⁶⁴.

The healing of seeded grafts has been closely examined in dogs. The University of Michigan group^{14,65} showed that initially both seeded grafts and controls are covered with a platelet carpet and scattered white blood cells. By the fourth day the first signs of endothelialization are present on seeded grafts and from 7 days there is enlargement of the endothelial patches. Seeded grafts are grossly clean and are 60 per cent covered with endothelium by 2 weeks; at 1 month a confluent monolayer with vasa vasorum is present. Similar work⁶⁶ confirmed the above findings up to 15 days, but failed to show a significant difference between seeded and unseeded Dacron grafts from 15 days onwards.

Improved patency rates have been demonstrated in small-diameter seeded prostheses of Dacron and PTFE, and have been associated with superior platelet survival, clot-free surface area and the development of endothelium^{67–71}.

Microvascular endothelium from omentum has been used to seed grafts *in vivo*, and produces a rather thick subendothelial layer, although thrombus-free areas are probably equivalent to seeding with large vessel endothelium^{72,73}. Seeding with mesothelial cells can also lead to the development of a cellular lining which secretes prostacyclin^{74,75}.

Some studies^{76,77} have been less favourable, especially the endothelial cell seeding of interposition grafts in veins. Two studies have failed to show improved patency using PTFE grafts in dogs^{78,79}, although in one⁷⁹ there was less luminal clot, decreased platelet accumulation, more endothelium and a thinner inner capsule on seeded grafts. The origin of the neointima that forms on the seeded grafts is also the subject of controversy as the process of seeding may create a milieu suitable for host endothelial cell growth⁸⁰. As one would expect, autologous seeding seems to be most effective, supporting seeded cells as the origin of the neointima, but xenograft seeding may also be successful⁸¹. Placing seeded and unseeded grafts in the same animal, combined with variations in the use of antiplatelet medication, may be possible reasons for these results.

Clinical trials. Besides the drawbacks of investigating endothelial cells *in vitro*, many of which also apply *in vivo*, animal studies are compounded by one other crucial problem. Although there are marked differences between species^{5,82}, all experimental animals develop an endothelial cell lining on a prosthetic graft whether or not it is seeded. In contrast, in humans a neointima is probably never formed⁴, and there are fundamental differences in the way that animals and humans heal artificial grafts. Despite this, encouraging results from animal work have provoked studies in humans.

Any comparison between seeded and unseeded synthetic femorodistal grafts, in patients with inadequate autogenous vein, presents formidable problems. The small numbers of patients appropriate for this type of surgery, and the large numbers required to construct a trial of sufficient power to establish a difference, would require a multicentre study with facilities for cell harvesting and seeding in each centre. Finally, the time required to confirm the long-term advantages of seeding, if graft occlusion was used as the endpoint, probably precludes such a trial being mounted.

Four centres, at Indianapolis, Dundee, Vienna and Göteborg, have individually undertaken limited trials, using

large vessel endothelium for seeding. Because of the many confounding variables and the small numbers of participants in these trials, the overall results are inconclusive. While some have demonstrated that endothelium can develop on seeded grafts in humans^{83,84} this is not a consistent finding⁸⁵. Recently endothelium has been positively identified 9 months after implantation of a Dacron mesoatrial graft, seeded with endothelial cells from subcutaneous fat⁸⁶. Clinical trials, though, are hampered by the inability to observe the development of endothelium directly. Instead, platelet deposition and the thrombogenicity index have been used as the primary measurable features.

The first clinical study⁸⁷ examined 18 endothelial cell-seeded femoropopliteal knitted Dacron grafts. There was no overall difference in patency between seeded and unseeded grafts, although autogenous vein grafts fared better than prosthetic grafts. However, the patency rates of seeded grafts were significantly better in non-smokers. A subsequent report⁸⁸ demonstrated an early trend towards improved patency and a reduced uptake of platelets in seeded femoropopliteal PTFE grafts. Unfortunately, these early findings never achieved statistical significance.

The earliest studies used mechanical methods of harvesting cells, and a later trial using enzymatic harvesting of cells and antiplatelet therapy⁸⁹, demonstrated cumulative patency rates at 3 months of 93 per cent for seeded and 84 per cent for unseeded femoropopliteal grafts. At 1 year the patency rates were significantly different, with 81 per cent for seeded and 31 per cent for unseeded grafts, and all but one of the seeded graft occlusions occurred in patients with a history of smoking or high carboxyhaemoglobin blood levels. Unfortunately, there were only 17 seeded and 14 unseeded grafts in this trial and similar success has not been repeated.

Zilla *et al.*⁹⁰ implanted nine seeded and nine unseeded femoropopliteal and femorocrural 6 mm PTFE grafts coated with fibrin glue. They were unable to find differences in platelet accumulation or platelet survival and relied on platelet factor IV, β -thromboglobulin and shape-changed platelets to indicate advantage in the seeded group²⁹.

Ortenwall *et al.*³⁰ used Dacron aortic bifurcation grafts in which one limb was seeded and the other acted as control. They demonstrated an early decrease in platelet accumulation on the seeded side and in follow-up studies⁹¹ have shown that platelet deposition on the seeded graft limb has remained reduced, over a period of 12 months. Other studies⁹² used preclotted femoropopliteal PTFE grafts, seeding either the proximal or distal half of the grafts with endothelial cells. In 23 patients the mean thrombogenicity index was significantly reduced in seeded graft segments at 1 and 6 months after implantation, indicating a reduction in platelet deposition. However, five grafts failed to show a response to seeding.

Conclusions

Technical innovations have not produced a prosthetic surface to rival vein and there has been no real progress since the introduction of PTFE around 1976. There is now sufficient expertise with endothelial cells to suggest that further developments in materials might best be directed towards developing flow surfaces conducive to the seeding and growth of endothelial cells.

Given the right surface the best outcome would follow if seeding were to be performed using a large number of cells. Cell isolation and culture techniques need to be improved to facilitate high density seeding. Genetic manipulation of endothelial cells⁹³ and cryopreservation of cells⁹⁴ may be important in the future.

The development of an off-the-shelf graft, prelined with cells, would combine an active antithrombogenic lining at the time of implantation with a possible reduction in infection^{95,96}. This would eliminate the time required for vein harvesting in

peripheral vascular surgery, and the availability of such a graft would encourage distal bypass. However, until techniques improve, the adoption of seeding into routine surgical practice cannot be supported outside clinical trials.

References

1. Bergan JJ, Veith FJ, Bernhard VM *et al.* Randomisation of autogenous vein and polytetrafluoroethylene grafts in femoral-distal reconstruction. *Surgery* 1982; **92**: 921-9.
2. Yeager RA, Hobson RW, Jamil Z, Lynch TG, Lee BC, Jain K. Differential patency and limb salvage for polytetrafluoroethylene and autogenous saphenous vein in severe lower extremity ischaemia. *Surgery* 1982; **91**: 99-103.
3. Absolom DR, Zingg W, van Oss CJ, Neumann AW. Protein and platelet interactions with polymer surfaces. *Biomater Med Dev Artif Organs* 1984-5; **12**(3-4): 235-66.
4. Berger K, Sauvage LR, Rao AM, Wood SJ. Healing of arterial prostheses in man: its incompleteness. *Ann Surg* 1972; **175**(1): 118-27.
5. Sauvage LR, Berger KE, Wood SJ, Yates SG, Smith JC, Mansfield PB. Interspecies healing of porous arterial prostheses. *Arch Surg* 1974; **109**: 698-705.
6. Moncada S, Herman AG, Higgs EA, Vane JR. Differential formation of prostacyclin (PGX or PGI₂) by layers of the arterial wall. An explanation for the antithrombotic properties of vascular endothelium. *Thromb Res* 1977; **11**: 323-44.
7. Fajardo LP. The complexity of endothelial cells. *Am J Clin Pathol* 1989; **92**: 241-50.
8. Maruyama Y. The human endothelial cell in tissue culture. *Zeitschrift fur Zellforschung* 1963; **60**: 69-79.
9. Jaffe EA, Nachman RL, Becker CG, Minick CR. Culture of human endothelial cells derived from umbilical veins. *J Clin Invest* 1973; **52**: 2745-56.
10. Lewis LJ, Hoak JC, Maca RD, Fry GL. Replication of human endothelial cells in culture. *Science* 1973; **181**: 453-4.
11. Maciag T, Hoover GA, Stemerma MB, Weinstein R. Serial propagation of human endothelial cells *in vitro*. *J Cell Biol* 1981; **91**: 420-6.
12. Balconi G, Dejana E. Cultivation of endothelial cells: limitations and perspectives. *Med Biol* 1986; **64**: 231-45.
13. Ryan US, White LA. Varicose veins as a source of adult human endothelial cells. *Tissue Cell* 1985; **17**: 171-6.
14. Graham LM, Burkel WE, Ford JW, Vinter DW, Kahn RH, Stanley JC. Immediate seeding of enzymatically derived endothelium in Dacron vascular grafts. Early experimental studies with autologous canine cells. *Arch Surg* 1980; **115**: 1289-94.
15. Gimbrone MA, Cotran RS, Folkman J. Human vascular endothelial cells in culture. Growth and DNA synthesis. *J Cell Biol* 1974; **60**: 673-84.
16. Glassberg MK, Bern MM, Coughlin SR *et al.* Cultured endothelial cells derived from the human iliac arteries. *In Vitro* 1982; **18**: 859-66.
17. Watkins MT, Sharefkin JB, Zajchuk R *et al.* Adult human saphenous vein endothelial cells: assessment of their reproductive capacity for use in endothelial seeding of vascular prostheses. *J Surg Res* 1984; **36**: 588-96.
18. Gourevitch D, Jones CE, Crocker J, Goldman MD. An *in vitro* technique to cover Dacron arterial grafts with human adult endothelial cells. *Surg Res Comm* 1987; **2**: 59-64.
19. Kent KC, Shindo S, Ikemoto T, Whittemore AD. Species variation and the success of endothelial cell seeding. *J Vasc Surg* 1989; **9**: 271-6.
20. Zilla P, Siedler S, Fasol R, Sharefkin JB. Reduced reproductive capacity of freshly harvested endothelial cells in smokers: a possible shortcoming in the success of seeding? *J Vasc Surg* 1989; **10**: 143-8.
21. Macarak EJ, Howard BV, Kefalides NA. Properties of calf endothelial cells in culture. *Lab Invest* 1977; **36**: 62-7.
22. Hasson JE, Wiebe DH, Sharefkin JB, Abbott WM. Migration of adult human vascular endothelial cells: effect of extracellular matrix proteins. *Surgery* 1986; **100**: 384-91.
23. Kan M, Kato M, Yamane I. Long term serial cultivation and growth requirements for human umbilical vein endothelial cells. *In Vitro Cell Dev Biol* 1985; **21**: 181-8.
24. Grinspan JB, Mueller SN, Noveral JP, Rosen EM, Levine EM. *In vitro* senescence, differentiated function, and transformation in cultured vascular endothelial cells. In: Acton RT, Lynn JD,

- eds. *Eukaryotic Cell Cultures. Basics and Applications*. London: Plenum Press, 1984: 67-90.
25. Jarrell B, Levine E, Shapiro S *et al*. Human adult endothelial cell growth in culture. *J Vasc Surg* 1984; **1**: 757-64.
 26. Sharefkin JB, van Wart HE, Cruess DF, Albus RA, Levine EM. Adult human endothelial cell enzymatic harvesting. *J Vasc Surg* 1986; **4**: 567-77.
 27. Bourke BM, Roche WR, Appleberg M. Endothelial cell harvest for seeding vascular prostheses: the influence of technique on cell function, viability, and number. *J Vasc Surg* 1986; **4**: 257-63.
 28. Rosenman JE, Kempczinski RF, Pearce WH, Silberstein EB. Kinetics of endothelial cell seeding. *J Vasc Surg* 1985; **2**: 778-84.
 29. Fasol R, Zilla P, Deutsch M, Grimm M, Fischlein T, Laufer G. Human endothelial cell seeding: evaluation of its effectiveness by platelet parameters after one year. *J Vasc Surg* 1989; **9**: 432-6.
 30. Ortenwall P, Wadenvik H, Kutti J, Risberg B. Reduction in deposition of indium 111-labelled platelets after autologous endothelial cell seeding of Dacron aortic bifurcation grafts in humans: a preliminary report. *J Vasc Surg* 1987; **6**: 17-25.
 31. Folkman J, Haudenschield CC, Zetter BR. Long-term culture of capillary endothelial cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1979; **76**: 5217-21.
 32. Bowman PD, Betz AL, Diane AR *et al*. Primary culture of capillary endothelium from rat brain. *In Vitro* 1981; **17**: 353-62.
 33. Kern PA, Knedler A, Eckel RH. Isolation and culture of microvascular endothelium from human adipose tissue. *J Clin Invest* 1983; **71**: 1822-9.
 34. Sterpetti AV, Schultz RD, Hunter WJ, Cisternino S, Fontaine M. Comparison of two techniques to isolate microvascular endothelial cells from the omentum. *J Surg Res* 1990; **48**: 101-6.
 35. Coene MC, Solheid C, Claeys M, Herman AG. Prostaglandin production by cultured mesothelial cells. *Arch Int Pharmacodyn* 1981; **249**: 316-18.
 36. Takahashi K, Goto T, Mukai K *et al*. Cobblestone monolayer cells from human omental adipose tissue are possibly mesothelial, not endothelial. *In Vitro Cell Dev Biol* 1989; **25**(2): 109-11.
 37. Clarke JMF, Pittilo RM. Vascular graft seeding. *Surgery* 1987; **102**(5): 890-1.
 38. Chung-Welch N, Patton WF, Yen-Patton A, Hechtman HB, Shepro D. Phenotypic comparison between mesothelial and microvascular endothelial cell lineages using conventional endothelial cell markers, cytoskeletal protein markers and *in vitro* assays of angiogenic potential. *Differentiation* 1989; **42**: 44-53.
 39. van Hinsbergh VWM, Kooistra T, Scheffer MA, van Bockel JH, van Muijen GNP. Characterization and fibrinolytic properties of human omental tissue mesothelial cells. Comparison with endothelial cells. *Blood* 1990; **75**: 1490-7.
 40. Mansfield PB, Wechezak AR, Sauvage LR. Preventing thrombus on artificial vascular surfaces: true endothelial cell linings. *Trans Am Soc Artif Intern Organs* 1975; **21**: 264-72.
 41. Anderson JS, Price TM, Hanson SR, Harker LA. *In vitro* endothelialisation of small-caliber vascular grafts. *Surgery* 1987; **101**: 577-86.
 42. Foxall TL, Auger KR, Callow AD, Libby P. Adult human endothelial cell coverage of small-caliber Dacron and polytetrafluoroethylene vascular prostheses *in vitro*. *J Surg Res* 1986; **41**: 158-72.
 43. Gourevitch D, Jones CE, Crocker J, Goldman M. Endothelial cell adhesion to vascular prosthetic surfaces. *Biomaterials* 1988; **9**: 97-100.
 44. Budd JS, Bell PRF, James RFL. Attachment of indium-111 labelled endothelial cells to pretreated polytetrafluoroethylene vascular grafts. *Br J Surg* 1989; **76**: 1259-61.
 45. Kent KC, Oshima A, Ikemoto T, Whittemore AD. An *in vitro* model for human endothelial cell seeding of a small diameter vascular graft. *Trans Am Soc Artif Intern Organs* 1988; **34**: 578-80.
 46. Kaehler J, Zilla P, Fasol R, Deutsch M, Kadletz M. Precoating substrate and surface configuration determine adherence and spreading of seeded endothelial cells on polytetrafluoroethylene grafts. *J Vasc Surg* 1989; **9**: 535-41.
 47. Lindblad B, Burkel WE, Wakefield TW, Graham LM, Stanley JC. Endothelial cell seeding efficiency on to expanded polytetrafluoroethylene grafts with different coatings. *Acta Chir Scand* 1986; **152**: 653-6.
 48. Sigot-Luizard MF, Lanfranchi M, Duval JL *et al*. The cytocompatibility of compound polyester-protein surfaces using an *in vitro* technique. *In Vitro Cell Dev Biol* 1986; **22**: 234-40.
 49. Kesler KA, Herring MB, Arnold MP *et al*. Enhanced strength of endothelial attachment on polyester elastomer and polytetrafluoroethylene graft surfaces with fibronectin substrate. *J Vasc Surg* 1986; **3**: 58-64.
 50. Sentissi JM, Ramberg K, O'Donnell TF, Connolly RJ, Callow AD. The effect of flow on vascular endothelial cells grown in tissue culture on polytetrafluoroethylene grafts. *Surgery* 1986; **99**: 337-43.
 51. Vohra RK, Thomson GT, Sharma H, Carr HM, Walker MG. Effects of shear stress on endothelial cell monolayers on expanded polytetrafluoroethylene (ePTFE) grafts using pre-clot and fibronectin matrices. *Eur J Vasc Surg* 1990; **4**: 33-41.
 52. Seeger JM, Klingman N. Improved endothelial cell seeding with cultured cells and fibronectin-coated grafts. *J Surg Res* 1985; **38**: 641-7.
 53. Emerick S, Herring M, Arnold M, Baughman S, Reilly K, Glover J. Leukocyte depletion enhances cultured endothelial retention on vascular prostheses. *J Vasc Surg* 1987; **5**: 342-7.
 54. Jarrell BE, Williams SK, Stokes G *et al*. Use of freshly isolated capillary endothelial cells for the immediate establishment of a monolayer on a vascular graft at surgery. *Surgery* 1986; **100**: 392-9.
 55. Rupnick MA, Hubbard A, Pratt K, Jarrell BE, Williams SK. Endothelialisation of vascular prosthetic surfaces after seeding or sodding with human microvascular endothelial cells. *J Vasc Surg* 1989; **9**: 788-95.
 56. Allen BT, Long JA, Clark RE, Sicard GA, Hopkins KT, Welch MJ. Influence of endothelial cell seeding on platelet deposition and patency in small-diameter Dacron arterial grafts. *J Vasc Surg* 1984; **1**: 224-33.
 57. Sharefkin JB, Latker C, Smith M, Cruess D, Clagett GP, Rich NM. Early normalisation of platelet survival by endothelial cell seeding of Dacron arterial prostheses in dogs. *Surgery* 1982; **92**: 385-93.
 58. Hirko MK, Schmidt SP, Hunter TJ, Evancho MM, Sharp WV, Donovan DL. Endothelial cell seeding improves 4 mm PTFE vascular graft performance in antiplatelet medicated dogs. *Artery* 1987; **14**: 137-53.
 59. Campbell JB, Glover JL, Herring B. The influence of endothelial seeding and platelet inhibition on the patency of ePTFE grafts used to replace small arteries - an experimental study. *Eur J Vasc Surg* 1988; **2**: 365-70.
 60. Herring M, Gardner A, Glover J. A single staged technique for seeding vascular grafts with autogenous endothelium. *Surgery* 1978; **84**(4): 498-504.
 61. Herring MB, Dilley R, Jersild RA, Boxer L, Gardner A, Glover J. Seeding arterial prostheses with vascular endothelium. The nature of the lining. *Ann Surg* 1979; **190**(1): 84-90.
 62. Graham LM, Vinter DW, Ford JW, Kahn RH, Burkel WE, Stanley JC. Endothelial cell seeding of prosthetic vascular grafts. Early experimental studies with cultured autologous canine endothelium. *Arch Surg* 1980; **115**: 929-33.
 63. Herring M, Gardner A, Glover J. Seeding endothelium onto canine arterial prostheses. The effects of graft design. *Arch Surg* 1979; **114**: 679-82.
 64. Graham LM, Burkel WE, Ford JW, Vinter DW, Kahn RH, Stanley JC. Expanded polytetrafluoroethylene vascular prostheses seeded with enzymatically derived and cultured canine endothelial cells. *Surgery* 1982; **91**: 550-9.
 65. Burkel WE, Vinter DW, Ford JW, Kahn RH, Graham LM, Stanley JC. Sequential studies of healing in endothelial seeded vascular prostheses: histologic and ultrastructure characteristics of graft incorporation. *J Surg Res* 1981; **30**: 305-24.
 66. Herring M, Baughman S, Glover J *et al*. Endothelial seeding of Dacron and polytetrafluoroethylene grafts: the cellular events of healing. *Surgery* 1984; **96**(4): 745-54.
 67. Stanley JC, Burkel WE, Ford JW *et al*. Enhanced patency of small-diameter, externally supported Dacron iliofemoral grafts seeded with endothelial cells. *Surgery* 1982; **92**(6): 994-1005.
 68. Graham LM, Stanley JC, Burkel WE. Improved patency of endothelial-cell-seeded, long, knitted Dacron and ePTFE vascular prostheses. *Trans Am Soc Artif Intern Organs* 1985; **8**(2): 65-73.
 69. Schmidt SP, Hunter TJ, Sharp WV, Malindzak GS, Evancho MM. Endothelial cell-seeded four-millimeter Dacron vascular grafts: effects of blood flow manipulation through the grafts. *J Vasc Surg* 1984; **1**: 434-41.
 70. Kempczinski RF, Rosenman JE, Pearce WH, Roedersheimer LR, Berlatzky Y, Ramalanjaona G. Endothelial cell seeding of a new PTFE vascular prosthesis. *J Vasc Surg* 1985; **2**: 424-9.
 71. Douville EC, Kempczinski RF, Birinyi LK, Ramalanjaona GR.

- Impact of endothelial cell seeding on long-term patency and subendothelial proliferation in a small-caliber highly porous polytetrafluoroethylene graft. *J Vasc Surg* 1987; **5**: 544-50.
72. Pearce WH, Rutherford RB, Whitehill TA *et al*. Successful endothelial cell seeding with omentally derived microvascular endothelial cells. *J Vasc Surg* 1987; **5**: 203-6.
 73. Sterpetti AV, Hunter WJ, Schultz RD *et al*. Seeding with endothelial cells derived from the microvessels of the omentum and from the jugular vein: a comparative study. *J Vasc Surg* 1988; **7**: 677-84.
 74. Bull HA, Pittilo RM, Drury J *et al*. Effects of autologous mesothelial cell seeding on prostacyclin production within Dacron arterial prostheses. *Br J Surg* 1988; **75**: 671-4.
 75. Clarke JMF, Pittilo RM, Nicholson LJ, Woolf N, Marston A. Seeding Dacron arterial prostheses with peritoneal mesothelial cells: a preliminary morphological study. *Br J Surg* 1984; **71**: 492-4.
 76. Hussain S, Glover JL, Augelli N, Bendick PJ, Maupin D, McKain M. Host response to autologous endothelial seeding. *J Vasc Surg* 1989; **9**: 656-64.
 77. Seeger JM, Klingman N. Improved *in vivo* endothelialisation of prosthetic grafts by surface modification with fibronectin. *J Vasc Surg* 1988; **8**: 476-82.
 78. Plate G, Hollier LH, Fowl RJ, Sande JR, Kaye MP. Endothelial seeding of venous prostheses. *Surgery* 1984; **96**: 929-36.
 79. Koveker GB, Burkel WE, Graham LM, Wakefield TW, Stanley JC. Endothelial cell seeding of expanded polytetrafluoroethylene vena cava conduits: effects on luminal production of prostacyclin, platelet adherence, and fibrinogen accumulation. *J Vasc Surg* 1988; **7**: 600-5.
 80. Hollier LH, Fowl RJ, Pennell RC *et al*. Are seeded endothelial cells the origin of neointima on prosthetic vascular grafts? *J Vasc Surg* 1986; **3**: 65-73.
 81. Pennell RC, Hollier LH, Solis E, Kaye MP. Xenograft seeding of Dacron grafts in dogs. *J Surg Res* 1986; **40**: 332-9.
 82. Ortenwall P, Bylock A, Kjellstrom T, Risberg B. Seeding of ePTFE carotid interposition grafts in sheep and dogs: species dependent results. *Surgery* 1988; **103**: 199-205.
 83. Herring M, Baughman S, Glover J. Endothelium develops on seeded human arterial prosthesis: a brief clinical note. *J Vasc Surg* 1985; **2**: 727-30.
 84. Walker MG, Thomson GJL, Vorha R, Shaw JW. Endothelial cell seeding of ePTFE vascular grafts - a clinical trial. *Br J Surg* 1988; **75**: 390.
 85. Herring MB, Compton RS, Gardner AL, LeGrand DR. Clinical experiences with endothelial seeding in Indianapolis. In: Zilla P, Fasol RD, Deutsch M, eds. *Endothelialisation of Vascular Grafts*. Basle: Karger, 1987: 218-24.
 86. Park PK, Jarrell BE, Williams SK *et al*. Thrombus-free, human endothelial surface in the midregion of a Dacron vascular graft in the splanchnic venous circuit - observations after nine months of implantation. *J Vasc Surg* 1990; **11**: 468-75.
 87. Herring M, Gardner A, Glover J. Seeding human arterial prostheses with mechanically derived endothelium. The detrimental effect of smoking. *J Vasc Surg* 1984; **1**: 279-89.
 88. Walker MG, Thomson GJL, Shaw JW. Endothelial cell seeded *versus* non-seeded ePTFE grafts in patients with severe peripheral vascular disease. In: Zilla P, Fasol RD, Deutsch M, eds. *Endothelialisation of Vascular Grafts*. Basle: Karger, 1987: 245-8.
 89. Herring MB, Compton RS, LeGrand DR, Gardner AL, Madison DL, Glover JL. Endothelial seeding of polytetrafluoroethylene popliteal bypasses. A preliminary report. *J Vasc Surg* 1987; **6**: 114-18.
 90. Zilla P, Fasol R, Deutsch M *et al*. Endothelial cell seeding of polytetrafluoroethylene vascular grafts in humans: a preliminary report. *J Vasc Surg* 1987; **6**: 535-41.
 91. Ortenwall P, Wadenvik H, Kutti J, Risberg B. Endothelial cell seeding reduces thrombogenicity of Dacron grafts in humans. *J Vasc Surg* 1990; **11**: 403-10.
 92. Ortenwall P, Wadenvik H, Risberg B. Reduced platelet deposition on seeded *versus* unseeded segments of expanded polytetrafluoroethylene grafts: clinical observations after a 6-month follow-up. *J Vasc Surg* 1989; **10**: 374-80.
 93. Dichek DA, Neville RF, Zwiebel JA, Freeman SM, Leon MB, Anderson WF. Seeding of intravascular stents with genetically engineered endothelial cells. *Circulation* 1989; **80**: 1347-53.
 94. Kadletz M, Moser R, Preiss P, Deutsch M, Zilla P, Fasol R. *In vitro* lining of fibronectin coated PTFE grafts with cryopreserved saphenous vein endothelial cells. *Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 1987 **35**: 143-7.
 95. Birinyi LK, Douville EC, Lewis SA, Bjornson HS, Kempczinski RF. Increased resistance to bacteremic graft infection after endothelial cell seeding. *J Vasc Surg* 1987; **5**: 193-7.
 96. Rosenman JE, Kempczinski RF, Berlatzky Y, Pearce WH, Ramalanjaona GR, Bjornson HS. Bacterial adherence to endothelial-seeded polytetrafluoroethylene grafts. *Surgery* 1985; **98**: 816-23.

Paper accepted 27 November 1990